

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DERRICK WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-1141-JAM-KJN PS

ORDER

(ECF Nos. 3, 4.)

On July 6, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No. 3), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On July 15, 2021, plaintiff filed a request for service, which the undersigned construes and plaintiff's general objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 4); these have been considered by the court.

This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d

1 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

2 The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,  
3 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 3) are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 6 2. Plaintiff's claims are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE; and
- 7 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

8  
9  
10 Dated: August 9, 2021

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE